

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,051	02/14/2001	Derek N.J. Hart	659-37	1833
7.	590 12/12/2002			
Nixon & Vanderhye P.C. 8th Floor 1100 N. Glebe Rd.			EXAMINER	
			KAUSHAL, SUMESH	
Arlington, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636 DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002	10
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/782,051	HART, DEREK N.J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.	1636				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	March 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
S. Patent and To	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

The Preliminary amendment filed on 03/18/02 has been acknowledged.

▶ If the claims are amended, added and/or canceled in response to this office action the applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under 37 CFR § 1.121 (http://www.uspto.gov) and <u>A CLEAN COPY OF ALL PENDING CLAIMS IS REQUESTED.</u>

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, drawn to an enzyme having AHCY-type activity, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 4-12 and 18, drawn to isolated DNA sequence, a DNA construct and a probe classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of modulating the activity of an enzyme having AHCY-type activity in a patient by administering a DNA construct, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claim 15, drawn to a method of modulating the activity of an enzyme having AHCY-type activity in a patient by administering a DNA construct, wherein the open reading frame is in an anti-sense orientation, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- V. Claim 16, drawn to a method of determining the modulatory potential of a compound on an enzyme having AHCY-type activity, classified in class 435, subclass 375.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,051

Art Unit: 1636

VI. Claim 17, drawn to an antibody, which binds to an enzyme having AHCY-type activity, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I (enzyme), II (DNA) and VI (antibody) are distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case polypeptides, DNA sequences and antibodies are structurally and functionally distinct product. Thus these inventions are distinct and are of separate uses.

Inventions of Groups III (gene therapy), IV (anti-sense gene therapy) and V (screening compounds) are distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method modulating the enzyme activity by administering a DNA construct that comprise an open reading frame in sense orientation (increase in enzyme production) have different modes of operation, functions and effects as compared to the method that requires the gene of interest in an anti-sense orientation (decrease in enzyme production). In addition the method of screening compounds (Group V) can be performed in vitro, whereas the methods of gene therapy requires in-vivo administration of genetic constructs. Thus these inventions are distinct and are of separate uses.

Inventions of Groups I, II, and Groups III, IV, V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Application/Control Number: 09/782,051

Art Unit: 1636

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the besides using in a gene therapy method the DNA sequences can also be used to make recombinant proteins. Similarly, besides using the enzyme to screen compounds the enzyme can also be used to make antibodies. Thus these inventions are distinct and are of separate uses.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-6838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. from 9AM-5PM. If attempts to reach

Art Unit: 1636

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yucel Irem Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-8724 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

S. Kaushal

Patent examiner

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER